From Vision to Execution: The Leadership Imperative for India @2047

Dr. Rudresh Pandey

Academician

India's ambition to become a developed nation by 2047 is both timely and demanding. The country enters this period with considerable strengths: a large domestic market, a young population, an expanding digital economy, a growing entrepreneurial base and greater influence in global affairs. Yet none of these advantages will automatically produce a developed India. Demographic potential can become demographic pressure. Technology can widen inequality instead of reducing it. Economic growth can coexist with inadequate public services, weak institutions and limited employment opportunities.

The real test of Viksit Bharat is not the scale of the vision but the discipline of its execution.

India has never lacked ideas, policies or programmes. The more persistent difficulty has been converting national intent into consistent outcomes across ministries, states, districts and institutions. A policy announced in New Delhi may be understood differently in different states and may encounter an entirely different reality when it reaches a municipal office, a village, a school or a small enterprise. The journey to 2047 must close this distance between policy and performance.

This makes leadership central to the development process. Leadership in this context does not refer only to political authority. It includes administrative leadership, business leadership, institutional leadership and professional leadership. It must also extend beyond a few individuals. India will need a system in which thousands of people, working at different levels, can make sound decisions, accept responsibility and remain focused on long-term national goals.

Turning 2047 into a sequence of achievable commitments

A date as distant as 2047 can inspire, but it can also create a false sense that there is sufficient time. In public policy, twenty-one years is not a long period. A child entering school today will be part of the workforce before 2047. Infrastructure commissioned during the next few years may remain in use well beyond the centenary of Independence. Similarly, weaknesses in health, education and urban planning that are ignored today will become far more expensive to correct later. The first responsibility of leadership is, therefore, to translate the national vision into measurable intermediate commitments. India needs clear milestones for 2030, 2035 and 2040, supported by annual targets and public reporting. The World Bank estimates that India's gross national income per person would need to rise nearly eightfold from prevailing levels for the country to attain high-income status by 2047.

Building institutions that can deliver

One of the common misinterpretations of strong leadership is that it denotes an over-centralised decision-making process. Central direction, however, must be exercised judiciously during a crisis or at the launch of a significant mission. But the management of a country as large as India cannot be done through central control. Local conditions are too different, and the search space too large. District officials should have room to adapt programmes to local needs, while remaining accountable for results. Municipal bodies, which will manage much of India's future urban growth, cannot continue to function with limited revenue, inadequate staff and fragmented authority. The quality of routine administration will matter as much as the quality of flagship projects. For a citizen, the state is experienced through an application processed on time, a functioning hospital, a safe road, a reliable water supply or a dispute resolved without years of delay.

Viksit Bharat will become credible when these ordinary interactions become predictable.

Institutional reform must also reduce the cost of compliance. The Economic Survey 2024–25 placed considerable emphasis on deregulation and argued that the next phase of reform must include systematic action by the states (Government of India, 2025). The objective should not be the absence of regulation. India requires firm standards in areas such as financial integrity, competition, labour protection, consumer safety and the environment. The need is for regulation that is clear, proportionate and consistently applied. Frequent changes, overlapping approvals and uncertain interpretation penalise honest businesses while creating room for discretion. Trust is an economic asset. When rules are stable and public institutions act within predictable timeframes, businesses invest with greater confidence and citizens are more willing to comply. Building such trust will be one of the most important, though less visible, leadership tasks of the coming decades.

Making employment the centre of the growth strategy

India cannot be the developed nation it aspires to be if its economic

growth generates only a fraction of the quality jobs required. Employment links dignity with growth, and consumption with tax revenue and social stability. It should be regarded as a policy objective in its own right, rather than as an automatically expected by-product of economic policies. Growth is required in manufacturing, modern services, construction, tourism, care work, logistics and food processing. India also needs stronger small and medium enterprises, which provide jobs outside a few big companies. Access to credit remains vital for these firms, but finance is not sufficient by itself. They need:

- Power
- Skilled workers
- Timely payment
- Better logistics
- Accessible technology
- Simpler compliance

Employment growth will depend to a very large extent on the quality of education and training.

While institutions need to be incentivised to innovate, there must also be accountability in terms of outcomes. Instead of grumbling about skill shortfalls, industry should help with curriculum design, apprenticeships and faculty development.

We should focus on women's economic participation in India. Improved transport, secure jobs, childcare and flexible working hours are rights, not just welfare. They dictate the extent to which the nation makes the most of its talent. No country can attain developed status with a major portion of its educated populace outside the formal economy.

Using technology without surrendering judgement

India's digital public infrastructure has shown that technology can deliver services at a scale that was previously difficult to imagine. The next phase may use artificial intelligence and data systems in health, agriculture, taxation, education and urban management. NITI Aayog has also identified digital public infrastructure as an important means of achieving inclusive and scalable growth (NITI Aayog, 2026).

Technology, however, should not be confused with reform itself. A poorly designed process does not become efficient merely because it is moved online. Digitisation can reproduce old confusion in a new format. The flip side is that it may also rule out those who do not have connectivity, language support or digital confidence.

Prior to employing any new system, leadership must answer two simple questions. Does it work for a regular citizen without an intermediary? Is there an equitable means of redressing a mistake?

Accountable government must therefore go hand in hand with data-driven government. Automated decisions, especially those affecting benefits, tax, access to credit or public services, should be reviewable. Cybersecurity and privacy need to be seen as an essential public good. A developed India should embrace technology to enhance human judgement rather than shy away from responsibility for decisions.

Atmanirbharta should not be seen as looking inwards and shutting oneself away from the world. India will rely on foreign engagement in international trade, investment, technological partnerships and critical minerals supply chains. The capacity for self-reliance, consequently, should be based on domestic productive capability: designing, making and funding products or services in ways that let them compete in international markets.

Protection sometimes provides a new industry with breathing space, but permanent protection dulls the incentive to get ahead. Public support must therefore be performance-, innovation- and export-linked. Indian firms need to aim for global standards on quality, cost, sustainability and corporate behaviour.

This same principle will apply to research and innovation. India requires far more money for scientific research, and far greater independence for institutions and consequential scrutiny. Closer collaboration is needed between universities, public laboratories, start-ups and established firms. Innovation hardly ever comes from one initiative; it emerges from a culture that allows for questioning, embraces calculated risk and has an appetite to learn via failure.

Ultimately, how India fares will depend on the strength of its institutions and actors. India's reputation will depend on whether contracts are fulfilled, disclosures are accurate, standards are met and disputes are settled fairly. A reputation lost is costly to earn back.

Leadership through financial and professional integrity

The transition to a developed economy will require enormous public and private investment. Infrastructure, energy transition, urban development, health, education and technological capacity will all compete for financial resources. The quality of investment will matter as much as its quantity.

Independent evaluation, reliable statistics, legislative scrutiny, professional audit and public consultation all improve the quality of decisions. They also protect long-term goals from short-term enthusiasm. Evidence may sometimes be inconvenient, but a country cannot manage a transformation of this scale by rewarding only favourable information.

> “India needs clear milestones for 2030, 2035 and 2040, supported by annual targets and public reporting. The World Bank estimates that India's gross national income per person would need to rise nearly eightfold from prevailing levels for the country to attain high-income status by 2047.”

Most long-term programmes diverge from the original plan. India's trajectory will be shaped by economic shocks, climate events, technological disruptions and geopolitical ripples. That said, leadership needs to balance persistence of purpose with flexibility of method.

Governments and organisations need to periodically re-examine major programmes and reveal what has worked, what has not and how they will change moving forward. The admission of a mistaken policy course should be considered responsible administration and not failure.

The leadership test

Viksit Bharat is a shared horizon for India, which makes it valuable. But talking alone will not see us to 2047. Over the next twenty years, it will be determined by choices made in budgets, classrooms, boardrooms, laboratories, courtrooms and municipal offices.

India does not require theatrical leadership; the focus should be on the practical side instead. It creates incentives, allocates accountability and is responsive to evidence. It gives capable individuals space to operate yet expects results and accountability. Even when inconvenient, it preserves the integrity of institutions. Most importantly, it understands that national rankings are not how citizens experience development; they experience it as opportunity, security, dignity and a belief in the future.

India is ambitious in its vision. The challenge now is whether the nation can develop the habits of execution demanded by this vision. If it can, 2047 will be much more than just one hundred years after Independence. It will signify the coming of age of a country that learnt how to transform aspiration into enduring public value.

References

- *Government of India (2025), Economic Survey 2024–25, Ministry of Finance, New Delhi. https://www.indiabudget.gov.in/budget2025-26/economicsurvey/index.php*
- *NITI Aayog (2025), India's Path to Global Leadership: Strategic Imperatives for Viksit Bharat @2047, Government of India, New Delhi. https://www.niti.gov.in/node/1630*
- *NITI Aayog (2026), DPI@2047 for Viksit Bharat: A Strategic Roadmap to Enable Non-linear Inclusive Socio-economic Growth, Government of India, New Delhi. https://niti.gov.in/sites/default/files/2026-04/DPI-2047-for-Viksit-Bharat-A-Strategic-Roadmap-to-Enable-Non-linear-Inclusive-Socio-economic-Growth.pdf*
- *Virmani, A. (2024), Viksit Bharat: Unshackling Job Creators and Empowering Growth Drivers, NITI Aayog, New Delhi. https://www.niti.gov.in/sites/default/files/2024-07/WP_Viksit_Bharat_2024-July-19.pdf*
- *World Bank (2025), India Country Economic Memorandum: Becoming a High-Income Economy in a Generation, World Bank, Washington, DC. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/entities/publication/79e6a188-2329-42d4-91cf-b11c1b3cb8be*

Author may be reached at rudreshpandey@gmail.com and eboard@icai.in