



CA. Utkarsh Mishra
Member of the Institute

Internal Financial Control (IFC) – Regulation, Global Practices and Evolution

This article examines the development and significance of Internal Financial Control (IFC) systems, with a focus on global regulatory trends and India's evolving framework. It traces the journey from international benchmarks such as the COSO framework and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act to India's enhanced provisions under the Companies Act, 2013. By comparing global practices, the paper highlights variations in implementation, ranging from comprehensive compliance in the U.S. to minimal

enforcement elsewhere. It also discusses practical challenges in India, including cost, complexity, and technological constraints. The article concludes by emphasizing the potential of emerging technologies and the need for globally consistent IFC standards.

What is Internal Financial Control?

Section 134(5)(e) of the Companies Act, 2013 defines Internal Financial Control as *"the policies and procedures adopted by the company for ensuring orderly and efficient conduct of its business, including adherence to company's policies, the safeguarding of its assets, the prevention and detection of frauds and errors, the accuracy and completeness of the accounting records, and the timely preparation of reliable financial information."*

It involves participation of multiple parties to review the process and efficacy of the IFC implementation such as: -

reporting "what has gone wrong" with respect to the previous year's financial accounts, the company's statutory auditor would now be expected to report on "what can go wrong" or "what could have gone wrong" at the end of the financial year. The emphasis extends beyond "Financial Reporting Controls" to encompass "all aspects" of the Controls Framework, such as tone at the top, policies and procedures, control design, operating efficacy of controls, control monitoring, and so on.

Regulatory Landscape

The legal framework governing IFCs varies across jurisdictions, reflecting

relevant regulations affecting IFC practices globally.

1. United States

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act was enacted in 2002 in response to high-profile accounting scandals such as Enron and WorldCom, with an objective to strengthen corporate accountability and improve the reliability of financial reporting.

- **Section 404:** Requires management to monitor and report on the effectiveness of internal controls on financial statements. It also mandates that external auditors certify management's assessment.
- **Section 302:** Management is required to attest to the truthfulness and completeness of financial statements. Executives themselves are responsible for anything that goes wrong.
- **Section 404(b):** Requires external auditors to evaluate the effectiveness of internal controls and report their findings.
- Security Exchange Commission (SEC) mandates annual filing of Form 10-K under Regulation S-K,

Management	Audit Committee	Auditors	Board of Directors
For financial reporting, create control documents and attest to the efficacy of internal control mechanisms.	Test alignment of the deployed controls with the standards. Consistent review and suggestions for better controls.	Evaluate if the business has a sufficient internal financial control system in place, with controls that are appropriately effective, and evaluate the controls that the management has put in place.	Provide suggestions for the layout of an effective control system which is best suitable for the type of business environment they have.

Scope Expansion

The change that IFC reporting brought for the auditor was that, aside from

different national priorities and legal philosophies. Here, we examine key regulatory frameworks and other

which encompasses disclosure requirements of internal control effectiveness.

- Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) requires auditors to conduct an integrated audit of the financial statements and internal control systems in accordance with Auditing Standard AS 2201.
- Even before the SOX was implemented, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA), 1977 had introduced requirements under Section 13(b)(2)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for companies to make and keep books, records, and accounts that accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of their assets in reasonable detail.
- SOX has had a significant impact on internal controls in the US, which includes placing greater emphasis on the documentation, testing, and reporting of internal processes.

2. United Kingdom

- Internal financial controls are regulated through the UK Corporate Governance Code issued by the Financial Reporting Council. The board of directors must perform a thorough evaluation of the company's primary and emerging risks in accordance with Provision 28 of the Code and reflect the results in the annual report. Additionally, Provision 29 mandates that the board must keep an eye on the company's internal control and risk management systems while evaluating their efficacy at least once a year.
- The Financial Reporting Council's (FRC) Guidance on Risk Management and Internal Control, which supports the Code, highlights that the board is in charge of assessing the company's risk tolerance and making sure that proper control mechanisms are in place. It also offers comprehensive

guidelines for creating reliable internal control systems.

3. European Union

- Internal control laws are primarily included in financial reporting guidelines and corporate governance frameworks in the European Union. In the corporate governance statement of their annual reports, corporations are required by the EU Accounting Directive (2013/34/EU) to disclose the key components of their risk management and internal control systems with respect to financial reporting. According to the national corporate governance standards, the board of directors tend to be in charge of monitoring these processes.
- Corporate disclosure requirements have been extended by the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD). Companies must submit comprehensive information about sustainability-related risks, governance frameworks, and internal procedures used to track and manage such risks in accordance with Article 19a. Organisations are encouraged to improve their internal control and reporting systems in response to these reporting requirements and necessary third-party verification.

4. Japan

- Internal financial control requirements are regulated by the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act through the internal control reporting system commonly referred to as J-SOX. Listed firms must submit an internal control report evaluating the efficacy of internal controls over financial reporting in accordance with Article 24-4-4 of the Act.
- In order to ensure the accuracy of management's evaluation, this report needs to be independently examined by independent

auditors. The COSO internal control framework, which comprises components like control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, monitoring, and information technology controls, serves as a major foundation for the assessment of internal controls under J-SOX. Corporate governance and the accuracy of financial reporting in Japanese businesses were greatly improved by the adoption of J-SOX.

5. Canada

- The Canadian Securities Administrators' National Instrument 52-109 governs the requirements for internal control reporting. Section 4.1 requires the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to certify that disclosure controls, procedures and internal control over financial reporting have been properly designed and implemented.
- The instrument also mandates that issuers periodically assess the efficacy of disclosure controls and identify any major deficiencies in internal control systems. National Instrument 52-109 does not typically require auditor certification of internal control over financial reporting, in contrast to the U.S. Sarbanes-Oxley framework, though certain businesses may choose to do so.

6. India

- The Companies Act, 2013 is the principal law governing internal financial controls. Section 134(5)(e) requires the board of directors to establish internal financial controls and confirm their adequacy and effectiveness in the Directors' Responsibility Statement. Statutory auditors are also required by Section 143(3)(i) to report on the adequacy and effectiveness of internal financial controls over financial reporting. However, the Ministry of Corporate

Affairs, through Notification No. G.S.R. 583(E) dated 13 June 2017, exempted One Person Companies, small companies, and certain private companies with a turnover below ₹50 crore and borrowings below ₹25 crore from internal financial control reporting.

- The Securities and Exchange Board of India's 2015 SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations expand internal financial control requirements for listed companies in India. The board of directors is in charge of managing the company's internal control system and risk management in accordance with Regulation 17. The audit committee is responsible, by Regulation 18, for evaluating the effectiveness of internal financial controls, risk management programs, and financial reporting procedures. Additionally, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer must certify under Regulation 33 that

financial statements accurately depict the company's financial situation and that adequate internal controls are in place. The Guidance Note on Audit of Internal Financial Controls over Financial Reporting, published in 2015 by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, specifies the reporting framework and methodology for auditors evaluating IFC-FR.

IFC Reporting and Practices around the World

Statutory requirements change depending on the type of firm and place of activity, as demonstrated in the instance of IFC in Table 1. On one hand, countries such as the United States have expanded auditor responsibilities and thorough reporting requirements; on the other hand, a few countries do not place enough importance on IFC reporting, for example, Ghana, where there is no requirement even for listed companies to incorporate IFC shortcomings in their report. The summarized country-wise IFC reporting requirements are as follows: -

Challenges in Implementing IFC in India

While the regulatory framework and core components of IFC have been very clearly defined, there are several challenges to the implementation of effective IFC within the Indian context. In many cases, these challenges are accentuated by some of the unique characteristics of the Indian corporate landscape.

- **Cost and Resource Constraints:** It can be very resource-intensive to set up and maintain a robust IFC system, especially in the case of SMEs. In the case of most SMEs in India, there exists a resource weakness to invest in the design and implementation of internal controls. This forces them to depend upon some external consultants or auditors, thereby further straining their financial resources.
- **Complexity in Business Operations:** The business environment in which Indian companies operate is very diverse and complex in nature. Companies are engaged in a plethora of

Table 1: IFC reporting requirements across a select set of countries

Country	IFC Reporting Attributes
USA (Stringent control and guidelines reporting)	■ Section 302: Quarterly evaluation and disclosure of controls ■ Section 404: Management testing and auditor's evaluation of IC ■ 10 Q: Quarterly return – General company information ■ 10 K: Yearly return – Detailed and deep information ■ SOX made CFOs accountable for establishing and executing such a system, which they must personally verify; SOX also increased auditors' role by requiring them to validate the IFC. Non – Compliance ■ Fine of up to \$1 million, 10 years in prison, or both ■ Knowingly - \$5 million in fines, 20 years in prison, or both
United Kingdom (Moderate focus on Internal Control)	UK Corporate Governance Code (2018) – ■ Provision 28: Board must assess principal risks affecting the company. ■ Provision 29: Board must review the effectiveness of internal control and risk management systems annually. ■ FRC Guidance on Risk Management, Internal Control and Related Financial and Business Reporting (2014) provides implementation principles.
European Union (Moderate focus on Internal Control)	■ EU Accounting Directive (Directive 2013/34/EU) – Article 19: Companies must describe internal control and risk management systems in the corporate governance statement. ■ Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), Directive (EU) 2022/2464 – Article 19a: Requires disclosure of governance structures, risk management, and internal control processes related to sustainability reporting.

Country	IFC Reporting Attributes
Canada (Moderate focus on Internal Control)	National Instrument 52-109 – Certification of Disclosure in Issuers’ Annual and Interim Filings. ■ Section 4.1: CEO/CFO certification regarding disclosure controls and procedures (DC&P) and ICFR. ■ Section 5.1: Disclosure of material weaknesses in internal controls. ■ Section 6.1: Management evaluation of disclosure controls effectiveness. ■ Auditor attestation is not mandatory for ICFR.
South Korea (Moderate focus on Internal Control)	■ Act on External Audit of Stock Companies – ■ Article 8: Companies must establish and operate an Internal Accounting Control System (IACS) to ensure financial reporting reliability. ■ Article 8(6): Management must annually evaluate the effectiveness of the internal accounting control system and disclose the results. ■ Article 8(7): External auditors are required to review and verify management’s report on the internal accounting control system.
Singapore (Moderate focus on Internal Control)	Singapore Code of Corporate Governance (2018) issued by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. ■ Provision 9.1: Board is responsible for maintaining sound risk management and internal control systems. ■ Provision 9.2: Board should obtain assurance from the CEO and CFO regarding adequacy and effectiveness of internal controls and risk management systems. ■ Provision 10: Audit committee reviews the adequacy and effectiveness of internal control and risk management frameworks. ■ Listed companies must disclose internal control and risk management practices in their annual corporate governance statement.
Australia (Moderate focus on Internal Control)	ASX Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations (4th Edition) – Principle 7: Establish sound risk management and internal control systems. ■ Recommendation 7.2: The Board must review risk management framework on an annual basis. ■ Recommendation 7.3: Disclosure of internal audit and risk management practices.
China (Moderate focus on Internal Control)	Basic Standard for Enterprise Internal Control (2008) issued by the Ministry of Finance and other regulators. ■ Article 2: Enterprises must establish and implement internal control systems to ensure operational efficiency, asset protection, and reliability of financial reporting. ■ Article 46: Listed companies must conduct annual internal control self-assessments and disclose the related internal control evaluation reports. ■ Auditor assurance on internal control reports is required for listed companies. ■ The framework, often referred to as “China SOX (C-SOX)”, integrates risk management, control activities, and monitoring mechanisms.
Japan (Moderate focus on Internal Control)	■ (J-SOX) 2007 of Japan is regarded as the equivalent of Sarbanes-Oxley in Japan (SOX), where every listed company in Japan is required to do risk analyses and create and publish consolidated internal control reports. ■ Independent accountants will audit and certify internal control assessment reports, attesting to the reports’ dependability or lack, if any.

Source: Author’s Compilation

sectors, most of which have their own regulatory requirements and risks. It would be challenging to design such an IFC system that would be comprehensive but at the same time flexible and adaptable to this complexity.

- **Human Factors:** IFC is almost wholly dependent upon the human

element. The concentration of power in a few due to family-owned businesses in India sometimes leads to an absence of checks and balances. Further, resistance to change and a lack of awareness among employees about the need for IFC can disturb effective implementation of

internal controls. There is a need to design training and development programs aimed at enhancing employees’ understanding of IFC, which has so far been given the least consideration.

- **Technological Issues:** Technology used in the implementation of IFC is an opportunity and a challenge.

On one hand, most of the control activities will be automated, thereby reducing the possibility of human errors and fraud. On the other hand, new types of risks related to cybersecurity are opened.

Auditor's Role in IFC

Auditors play an important role in the assessment and improvement of IFC in Indian companies. The Companies Act, 2013 has enlarged the scope of statutory audit to reporting on IFC, hence making the auditor responsible for their opinion concerning the sufficiency and effectiveness of the internal financial control of a company.

This enhanced role led to a close check on audit quality and a demand for relevant understanding of processes involved in business and risks thereof by the auditors. In an Indian scenario where a business environment is diverse and dynamic, the auditors will have to be agile and adaptive in their approach toward the evaluation of IFC.

Similarly, the work of internal auditors becomes very vital in the process. They provide ongoing assurance on a company's risk mitigation, governance standing, and the processes of internal control in operation. Internal auditors are the most vital line of defense because they find and rectify control defects before they have any effects on the financial statements.

Future Trends in Internal Financial Control

As the business environment improves, the future of domestic financial management is shaped by several factors. These factors reflect technological advances, changes in regulatory requirements, and the increasing complexity of global operations.

Improved Technology Integration

Technology is changing the flexibility of internal controls. Key technological developments include:

- **Artificial Intelligence (AI):** AI is capable of automating routine operations, analyzing vast amounts of financial data, and detecting potential risks or anomalies.
- **Machine Learning:** Machine learning algorithms can improve fraud detection by analyzing patterns and predicting possible fraudulent activity.
- **Blockchain:** Blockchain technology offers potential benefits to enhance transparency and security in financial transactions.

Focus on Cybersecurity

With the rise of cyber threats, internal systems are placing more emphasis on cybersecurity. Key considerations include:

- **Data Security:** Implement control measures to protect financial information from cyber-attacks and breaches.
- **Incident Response:** Develop robust action plans to address cybersecurity threats and mitigate their impact on financial services.
- **Compliance:** Ensure compliance with data protection regulations such as GDPR and other cybersecurity standards.

Regulatory Development

Regulatory requirements for internal controls are constantly evolving. Organizations need to be notified of changes and adapt their control systems accordingly. Upcoming legal actions include:

- **Enhanced Scrutiny:** Regulators have increased their focus on internal controls, particularly in high-risk industries like the financial sector.
- **Globalization:** Efforts to standardize laws across jurisdictions can lead to consistent requirements around the world.
- **Sustainability Reporting:** Increased emphasis on

environmental and social governance (ESG) is influencing the internal process of sustainability reporting.

Global Harmony

As businesses expand globally, there is increasing emphasis on standardizing internal processes across countries. This includes:

- **Standards:** Adoption of international policies and standards to ensure consistency of internal controls.
- **Cross-Border Compliance:** Ensuring internal controls meet the requirements of multiple regulations.
- **Sharing Best Practices:** Using global best practices to address common challenges in improving internal processes.

Conclusion

Strict guidelines and specified format reporting produce the best results, as demonstrated in SEC filings. Furthermore, holding board members, directors, auditors, and concerned officials accountable with severe penalties fosters a strong internal control environment. We may conclude that different countries apply different reporting criteria based on their size of economy, current statute, corporate complexities, and level of stakeholder participation. However, developing countries such as India should hasten their adoption of the detailed USA-like reporting. For example, Forms 10Q and 10K may appear to be an excessive reporting burden at first, but will eventually result in stakeholder transparency and fewer financial mishaps.

Author may be reached at
fcautkarshmishra@gmail.com
and eboard@icai.in